



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,541	03/03/2005	Volker Thole	03100232AA	5178
30743	7590	07/13/2009	EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190			THEODORE, MAGALI P	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		1791		
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
07/13/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/526,541	Applicant(s) THOLE ET AL.
	Examiner Magali P. Théodore	Art Unit 1791

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Magali P. Théodore.

(3) Ms. Lisa Gangi.

(2) Mr. Michael Whitham.

(4) Christina A. Johnson.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ljungbo (WO 92/04169 A1) and Gath et al. (DE 11272270 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the use of the term "dry method," the point in the process at which the water glass is added, and the mixing and curing temperatures. The examiners agreed to consider evidence of the industry's use of the term "dry method" and evidence supporting Applicant's claim of an unexpected result of adding the water glass in the blow line. Applicant also proposed amending the claim to specify the type or form of the water glass being added.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.